

## PLANNING COMMITTEE MEETING – 8<sup>th</sup> January 2025

### Amendment/De-brief Sheet

#### GREATER CAMBRIDGE SHARED PLANNING SERVICE PLANNING COMMITTEE REVIEW SCHEME OF DELEGATION – Report

##### Amendment

Para 4.2 - 151 applications instead of 155.

Para 5.8 - final bullet point to be replaced with the following:

- Where there are 5 or more Third-party Representations on material planning grounds (that cannot be resolved by way of a condition) within the 21 days consultation, or 14 days if an amendment, for the planning application to be reviewed by a Delegation Panel to determine if a planning committee decision is required.

Page 81, foot notes are:

[1] Representatives of a Parish Council must have the formal agreement of the Parish Council to represent their views

[2] Councillors will need to advise in what capacity they are speaking i.e. Ward Councillor etc

##### Appendix 1

Para 10.1 and Scheme of Officer delegation in relation to the JDMC para 7 – update local member to Ward Member.

### MAJOR PLANNING APPLICATIONS

Circulation:	First	Item:
Reference Number:		
Address:		
Determination Date:	-	
To Note:		
Amendments to Text:	-	
Pre-Committee Amendments to Recommendation:	None	
<b>Decision:</b>		

---

### MINOR PLANNING APPLICATIONS

Circulation: First Item:  
Reference Number: 24/03448/FUL  
Address: -  
Determination Date: -  
To Note:  
Amendments to Text: -

Pre-Committee None  
Amendments to  
Recommendation:

**Decision:**

---

Circulation: First Item:  
Reference Number: 24/03913/S73  
Address:  
Determination Date: -  
To Note:

Amendments to Text: -

Pre-Committee None  
Amendments to  
Recommendation:

**Decision:**

---

Circulation: First Item:  
Reference Number: 24/03207/FUL  
Address:  
Determination Date: -  
To Note:

Amendments to Text: -  
Pre-Committee Amendments to Recommendation: None

**Decision:**

---

Circulation: First Item:  
Reference Number: 24/03749/FUL  
Address:  
Determination Date: -  
To Note:  
Amendments to Text: -  
Pre-Committee Amendments to Recommendation: None

**Decision:**

---

Circulation: First Item:  
Reference Number: 24/03237/S73  
Address:  
Determination Date: -  
To Note:  
Amendments to Text: =  
Pre-Committee Amendments to Recommendation: -

**Decision:**

---

Circulation: First Item:  
Reference Number: 24/02837/FUL  
Address: 11A Garry Drive  
Determination Date:

Please find the extract from the most recent Inspectors report regarding 23/01183/FUL. This should have been appended to the committee report, but the following extract is of note.

To Note:

9. The kitchen would be a long, narrow space with only a small northwest facing window. However, being a non-habitable space, natural light to this room would be less important. The study and bedroom would gain natural light from both windows and rooflights. The bedroom would have a small window, but this would be supplemented by a rooflight, affording good quality daylight and sunlight. The study, gaining daylight from an elevation and the roof slope, would be reasonably well lit, despite being on the northwest facing aspect.
10. However, the living and dining space would only be served by rooflights, that whilst large, would be the sole source of natural light for the unit's main living space. Furthermore, as one rooflight would be northwest facing, the room would be dependent on the southeast facing rooflight for most daylight and all sunlight. Therefore, due to the orientation of the building, natural light to the main living space would be likely to be poor, creating a dark and secluded space. Consequently, I am unconvinced that the amendments made to the scheme have materially improved the availability and access to natural light. As such, the proposal would continue to create a dark and uninviting space.
11. In the absence of compelling daylight and sunlight analysis, the Appellant has not demonstrated that the scheme would provide suitable daylight and sunlight levels to create acceptable living conditions or a successful place for future occupiers. Accordingly, the proposal would conflict with policies 52 and 56 of the Cambridge Local Plan [2018] (LP) and the Framework. These seek, among

---

<https://www.gov.uk/planning-inspectorate>

2

---

Appeal Decision APP/Q0505/W/23/3327514

other matters, for development to protect the amenity of new properties, create high quality successful places and a high standard of amenity for future users.

Amendments to Text: None  
Pre-Committee Amendments to Recommendation: None  
Decision: Approval subject to conditions (unchanged from committee report)

---

Circulation: First Item:  
Reference Number: 24/02681/FUL  
Address:  
Determination Date: -  
To Note:  
Amendments to Text: -  
Pre-Committee Amendments to Recommendation: None

**Decision:**

---

Circulation: First Item:  
Reference Number: 24/04010/S106A  
Address:  
Determination Date: -  
To Note:  
Amendments to Text: -  
Pre-Committee Amendments to Recommendation: None

**Decision:**

---

Circulation: First Item:  
Reference Number: TPO-28-2024  
Address:  
Determination Date: -  
To Note:  
Amendments to Text: -

Pre-Committee  
Amendments to        None  
Recommendation:

**Decision:**

---